

April 14, 1980

LB 361

from rural Nebraska that didn't quite understand the bill just like Senator Lamb and this is going to allow for senior citizens to be able to take advantage of some federal funds that are available, and if the county don't wish to sponsor them or the city don't wish to sponsor them, private individuals or private corporations or private churches or any group like that can sponsor them and make it available. I am very sorry I don't know what Senator Fitzgerald has in mind to put on the bill but, Senator Fitzgerald, if it is congenial with this bill after I take the gas tax off, I will support you on it. If it is not, why I won't. Thank you. That is the closing.

SPEAKER MARVEL: All those in favor of the Keyes amendment to LB 361 vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Where did Senator Keyes go? Record the vote.

CLERK: 10 ayes, 25 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion lost.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment is by Senator Kahle. Senator Kahle would delete all sections of LB 361 except for rural transportation. That is your amendment, Senator. Okay, Senator Kahle, would like to withdraw, Mr. President. Mr. President, next amendment, Senator DeCamp moves to suspend Rule 7, Section 3 (d) and Rule 5, Section 2 (b) to permit an amendment to LB 361, the amendment being to insert the contents of LB 868, 858, excuse me.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I am going to try to be real brief. 868 is the asbestos bill that was agreed upon by basically Business and Labor. Why is it important to do this year? Several people involved are probably going to die, quite frankly, before next year. They would be apparently covered by the provisions of this bill. I am gutting the bill. If there is 30 votes to do it, fine. I think it is a legitimate thing.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Labeledz, do you wish to speak to the motion?

SENATOR LABEDZ: I just agree, Mr. Speaker, with Senator DeCamp. LB 858 was one of the most important bills or one of the important bills that we have before the Legislature and this was to allow a worker to bring an action within four years instead of the usual ten years from the date he was exposed to a product of asbestos and I

10114