

April 10, 1980

LB 1002

\$80,000. Now we have three areas of problem. This thing was run through the Judiciary. Couldn't even get out of committee and I don't blame you, Senator Hefner, for continuing to try. There are other funds, federal funds that have been doing this. The county which we are particularly interested in here is Knox County. They are not assessing themselves to the mill levy limit at all. They could do it if they wanted to do it but they don't want to do it. They want the Legislature to do it. If we do it this year it is back next year. I oppose the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator George.

SENATOR GEORGE: Thank you, Mr. President. I do support the Hefner amendment. The first time around Senator Hefner proposed that amendment I realized it was not very well defined how that money should be used. If you look at the amendment now you will find out that it is defined and you will also, I think, come to the realization that a definite need exists. We are not talking about county law enforcement. We are not talking about sheriffs. Basically we are talking about two small towns, one in Knox County, one in Thurston County and these two towns simply don't have the money to come up with any decent law enforcement personnel. They definitely need training. They have to pick better people. They have to send them to Grand Island to our state school for training and if you, for instance, as in Thurston County have forty to fifty thousand acres taken off the property tax rolls, then, Senator Nichol, it is extremely difficult to assess yourself in that county in any shape or manner and get enough money for law enforcement. These counties are in a bind because of federal decisions because Indian land cannot be taxed. So either you want to continue with a law enforcement system in two small towns that I really wouldn't call law enforcement or we do spend the \$80,000 and improve it. If you would ever take the time to go to these two small towns and look around and see what is going on there, I am sure you would change your mind and vote for Senator Hefner's amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Reutzel.

SENATOR REUTZEL: Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Hefner amendment. This was in Judiciary Committee and we discussed it quite at length and this is a smaller proposal than we had in committee. It is a little bit different in concept. We have a responsibility as does Knox County to provide law enforcement. Knox County, I believe, has abrogated their responsibility in this area. They don't want to raise the taxes. They don't want to provide law enforcement; but I