

April 9, 1980

LB 627

lids that are enacted as the day this bill is passed.

SENATOR LAMB: Okay, Mr. Chairman and members, my amendment, or really Senator Dworak's amendment, which I am carrying, would amend Senator Koch's amendment so that the two-year lid would be in effect for Omaha and Nebraska City as well as for any of the other subdivisions that may eventually initiate such a lid. In other words, it does not grandfather in Omaha and Nebraska City on a one-year lid whereas everyone else has a two-year lid. Mr.....could I ask, will my amendment be applied to Senator Koch's amendment before his amendment is adopted? Is that the correct procedure, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes. If we adopted your amendment, Senator, we would still have to vote on the amended Koch amendment, yes. Is that what you are asking me?

SENATOR LAMB: Yes.

CLERK: We would still have to vote on the Koch amendment as posed, even after your amendment was adopted amending it. Okay?

SENATOR LAMB: Is my amendment in order now then?

CLERK: It would take two votes. Your amendment and then the Koch amendment.

SENATOR LAMB: Under my amendment to Senator Koch's amendment Omaha would have a two-year lid, Nebraska City would have a two-year lid and any other subdivision that initiated a lid would also have a two-year lid. Senator Koch's amendment, without my amendment, lets Omaha and Nebraska City have a one-year lid. In other words, they get off one year cheaper. Now the other thing that my amendment does is to strike the first line of his amendment and that, in effect, leaves the 7 percent...or the 7, yes the 7 percent of the voters rather than going to 10 percent.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Stoney, do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, a question of Senator Lamb if he would respond, please.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, sir.

SENATOR STONEY: Senator Lamb, in your amendment that you are offering to Senator Koch's amendment, would this preclude