

April 9, 1980

That seems to be an obsession with Mr. Greenholtz too because throughout excerpts presented in documentation that I have in my hand and which I think would be available to any member of the Legislature who is interested, an individual with a drinking problem, Senator Venditte, gets extra hard treatment at the hands of Greenholtz, who by the way was convicted of driving while intoxicated himself. I think there is a difference between being tough and being a bully, Senator Venditte. It is one thing to have all of the cards in your hand and bully and intimidate somebody who can not speak back and to stand up to somebody who is able to deal with you on the basis that you deal with them. For example I attended a conference on corrections and I confronted Mr. Greenholtz with the fact that he was convicted of driving while drunk. He didn't jump up and try to intimidate me or brow beat me like he does these inmates who must allow themselves to be demeaned, who must watch while third parties who come to speak in their behalf are put through an embarrassing and degrading series of questions. For example a lady came to speak in behalf of an inmate and Greenholtz said to her, "Don't get any ideas about living common law." She said, "pardon me?" He said, "That means living together while you are not married. Don't you do that or we are going to bring him right back here." She is not coming before this individual for this kind of treatment. An individual who was a Bible teacher was told that these cons know how to maneuver and manipulate people. Don't you be manipulated by him. You are a nice God fearing person but you don't know anything. This guy is a three time loser. He will be back here we know he will. He is no good. These are the kind of things that John Greenholtz said. Former members of the Judiciary Committee are aware of the problems that existed with reference to the parole board and Mr. Greenholtz and at one time there was even consideration of abolishing the board all together. Now to give you an idea of some of the things that Mr. Greenholtz has said which will confirm with Senator Wesely and others have indicated about his lack of judicious temperment, Mr. Greenholtz said this about an individual. The only reason that I am voting for this guy is we will have a chance to bring him back. He is going to go out free, independent and responsible to nobody in April. If we grant him a parole and he fouls up we can keep him a long time. So here he is saying that he doesn't believe a person is going to make it but the only reason he is voting is to be able to snatch him up and bring him back and keep him for a long time. Senator Kelly, that is not what I think this parole system should be about. An individual had gone to these Bible classes. Had gotten a job with the Lutheran Church and Greenholtz told him, who is paying for all of this? The guy going to school to learn about the Bible and God I presume, offender, I'm paying for it. I'll be working,