

justify a motion of any kind to suspend the rules under any circumstances. So, if this motion which Senator DeCamp now has before the body should prevail and he wants to suspend more than just Rule 7, Section 7a and that motion prevails, and I vote on the nonprevailing side, then I can turn right around and invoke the Speaker's ruling and under the authority given to me under Rule 2, Section 2, I can move to reconsider that vote by moving to suspend the rule to reconsider and if anybody would try to stop me from doing that by means of any rule, then I simply move to suspend some other rules and I want the Legislature to understand what we would get ourselves into if the Speaker's ruling today prevails. However, because I enjoy the thicket, I enjoy heated confrontations and intellectual gymnastics and acrobatics, I'm not going to move to overrule the Chair and I will abide by the Speaker's ruling because I think it expands our rules considerably and provides some people with a tool that otherwise would not exist in the rules as they should be interpreted based on my understanding of the rules.

SPEAKER MARVEL: I have one other comment to make and then I will recognize Senator Hoagland and that is, I think when the confrontation with rules occurs that those connected with the rules committee should make note of these rather than let the discussion simmer down to the place where we forget what the argument was. So I think it would be helpful to the whole process if the Rules Committee would take cognizance of the debate and go from there. Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. Speaker, I will move to overrule the Speaker on this issue and let me try and state the reasons why I think that the Speaker should be overruled in as few sentences as I can. If you all open your rule book to page 41 it states clearly in there that, "if on Final Reading there has been a motion to reconsider that has failed, or if on Final Reading there has been a motion to reconsider which has been successful and then reconsideration of the bill has failed, why it takes unanimous consent to bring the bill up again." Now I move to overrule the Speaker because Senator DeCamp's motion here is a thinly disguised attempt to overrule our rules which indicate this can be done only by unanimous consent. Now we have a lot of rules that require more than 30 votes to do things. One of our rules is that a bill can't be passed without an emergency clause without 33 votes. Another rule is that a bill can't be reconsidered on Final Reading more than twice without unanimous consent and I think it is a very dangerous precedent when we move to suspend a rule that requires more than 30 votes to get something done and I think the way you need to view this is you need to view Senator