

April 1, 1980

LB 999

but I believe we are using some punitive action here which is not necessary. I recall the days of the hearings, the efforts of trying to get people to work together, and I don't believe that they have been given ample time to do all this, and I would also remind us that we have all the power here to review their actions and sometimes we are negligent by not reviewing them. The Audit Review Committee could do this very easily. There are times I have tried to give the Postsecondary Education Commission some additional powers to bring about coordination. That's...at least that is the title of the organization. We could do it there. The Appropriations Committee in looking at their budgets could ask certain kinds of questions which are incisive...try to get the point, or to bring an object lesson to them at that time. The two schools in Business Administration have a considerable enrollment. For us to withhold this kind of money I think is an action really... a penalty to students, not to the system itself. I would remind you as well that the Education Committee if we think that there is enough evidence, we yet this year can hold a resolution and a study of the postsecondary system to see just exactly what they are doing in terms of the role and mission statements, and possibly that is the action we should take. As I look at some of the studies that we have for resolutions, like the Regional Centers, that may be a need, but possibly we ought to study a little more...are they complying with the roles and missions. I know Senator Lewis oftentimes likes to make an object lesson having been a former teacher, but this one I think is not in the best interests of the University nor Kearney State College, and Senator Cope, had I known we were going to get to Kearney today I'd have worn a gold jacket for the Antelopes. But in this case I will defend them and oppose the Lewis amendment.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Mr. President, I would oppose Senator Lewis' amendment, not on the basis that I do not share some of the same concerns that he has expressed. As I understand it, the agreement which was signed by the Board of Regents and the Board of Trustees, which apparently is the document that was submitted to the Clerk, does indicate the intent to carry out a graduate program. It did have an option as to the Masters Degree as being an MBA or Administrative Sciences generally, but I specifically understand that the initial courses that will be offered cooperative, are courses