

March 31, 1980

LB 993

the amendment that is to be considered at this time is the Joe Soukup amendment to the claims bill and Monday morning to take this up and do an inadequate job would be unfair entirely to Joe Soukup and those situated. I respectfully request your permission, Mr. Speaker, to withdraw this amendment. I will put it on Select.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Do I hear objections? Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, would you tell us again what page in the Journal that amendment is located?

SPEAKER MARVEL: 1480.

SENATOR SCHMIT: If you would have any explanation of that amendment, Senator Kelly, I think it would be helpful if we could have that in our hands also prior to the time that it comes up.

SENATOR KELLY: Thank you, Senator Schmit. That is exactly the position that I was in this morning. I was inadequately prepared to inform each member of the Legislature, therefore, I am making this request to take it on Select.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kelly, are you...? Okay, the motion is withdrawn. The motion now is to advance the bill. Where is Senator Maresch?

SENATOR MARESH: Mr. Speaker, I had an amendment I was thinking about to reduce the deer damage and antelope damage but I think I will do that on Select File so I will move that this bill be advanced to E & R initial.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Wesely, your light is on. We are speaking on the motion to advance the bill.

SENATOR WESELY: I would like to follow up with a question to Senator Maresch. You will try to attempt to amend on Select File the wildlife deprivation claim. Is that correct?

SENATOR MARESH: Yes, I had a motion in committee to reduce it 50% and that failed but I will try it here on the floor.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, thank you. I would just like to say that without some attempted decrease to that claim I think we set a very bad precedent with this bill and I am very concerned about it. It could be very costly beyond this year's amount. We could set a precedent that in the future could cost the state a great deal of money, something we haven't done in the past and I don't think is necessarily