

March 27, 1980

LB 647

after some conditions were met, probably my death. I would have the use of that home. I wouldn't have to pay rent on that home. It would be mine as if I owned it. I would have to pay the taxes on that home. I didn't pay anything for that home. Chances are I didn't pay anything for that home, but I would be obligated for the taxes...should I qualify then in that home for a homestead exemption depending on income or depending on age or whatever criteria that qualification might be. I guess I don't have a problem with putting an exemption on that type of a situation if I qualify for the exemption and if I am liable, in fact, for those taxes. I guess what I am worried about is getting close to the renters thing in a half a step approach rather than doing something about renters generally in the homestead exemption. I have been very critical of Senator Labedz now for two years when we were fooling around with homestead exemptions because she is asking us to pour in millions and millions and millions of dollars and we have got a lot of problems in inequities with our existing homestead exemption, and we seem to be hesitant to grab ahold of that and face that fact and straighten it out, and that is exactly what needs to be done. In fact, I am a little bit uncomfortable with the approach of three bills and one bill moving toward the direction I would like to, but we are not going to go to that bill I can see that right now. So we are going to put that off another year. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Beutler, do you wish to close on your amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would just make two comments. First of all, I will try to answer in more detail some of the questions that were raised by the individual senators before this comes around for a debate another time. But, secondly, the comment was made that these people are not as poor as other people and the reason given for making the statement was that they can afford to buy these units which are 25 to 50 thousand dollars and a few of them more expensive. But people living in homes also have an equity interest many, many times of 25 to 50 thousand dollars, and yet if they qualify under the income guidelines, they will benefit from the homestead law. So I don't think it shows a whole lot of wealth to have been able to have purchased these kinds of units at the end of a lifetime. I mean, you aren't exactly fabulously rich if your net assets at the end of a lifetime are 25 to 50 thousand dollars, and they are certainly every bit as entitled to it as someone who owns a home and has equity in it from 25 to 50 thousand dollars. So I ask for your support for the amendment. Thank you.

L. 9216