

March 27, 1980

LB 780, 843

Legislative Journal.) 28 ayes, 13 nays, 5 excused and not voting, 3 present and not voting, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The bill is declared passed on Final Reading. Before we begin the next bill on Final Reading, it is my privilege to introduce in the North balcony first of all from Senator Maresh's District 29 tenth graders from Friend Public School, Friend, Nebraska. The teacher is Mrs. Shirley Gautreaux. Where are you located? Will you hold up your hands so we can...there you are. Welcome. The second group from Senator Cullen's District, 10 students, sixth, and seventh and eighth grade school students from Prairie Home School, Chadron, Nebraska, and Patty Havlena and five other adults accompany them. Will you hold up your hand so we can see where you are? Welcome. And finally, it is my privilege to introduce 17 ladies representatives of the Tri-Diocese Council of Catholic Women, Legislative Chairwomen, Mrs. Mary Horan, Heartwell, Nebraska is the Chairman. Where are you ladies located? Okay, welcome. For your information, we are in the midst of Final Reading. The Constitution of this state indicates that before a bill is finally passed it must be read word for word and the Clerk or rather the Clerks, I should say, are in the process of reading bills on Final Reading. All legislators must be in their seats during Final Reading, and no one can approach them during this particular period. The Clerk will now read on Final Reading LB 843.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion on the desk. Senator DeCamp moves to return LB 743 to Select File, his amendment being to strike the enacting clause.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, it is 843, isn't it? Pat, 843. Okay, you said seven. Mr. President, this won't take but a minute and I am not going to push this motion. I just wanted to say why I am not going to vote for the bill even though I have supported it every step of the way, voted for it. I have read it and I can see serious problems. They could be easily corrected but apparently they want the bill in this form. In regard to page #3, prohibitions against use of private property or I mean property of the district for private use, it is so absolute that I think, as I say, it would give rise to mischief. If somebody received a phone call from their wife or was using a phone or they were out thirty miles and drove to the restaurant to get their lunch, took the vehicle home, whatever, I think you could have employees in trouble and it doesn't even provide that they can have exceptions or anything else. That concerns me. It doesn't say they can adopt rules and regs to make any