

funds, then there would be some wailing and gnashing of teeth. Again it is not going to be a great concern to me. The exemptions are not being challenged in that law suit. Now I think that we are not going to adopt the Newell amendment. But, I think there has to come a time when we seriously address the issues that we have here today. I know that there are those who think that by the tactics that we are using this afternoon that we can delay this and perhaps they will succeed, and maybe they will. Maybe LB 882 will be defeated. Maybe in fact the tax will be reinstated, but, if and when all of these things would happen, there would be other consequences. Those consequences, I am sure would be serious and would not be in line with what Senator Newell has in mind. Certainly as what sometimes would happen when you give a child an automatic shotgun, it is a dangerous thing to play with unless he is properly instructed, and I would hope that we would not adopt the Newell amendment, that we would defeat the amendment and proceed on to those amendments which are serious and whose introducers wish to really make a major contribution to the process. I ask you to vote against the Newell amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Question.

SENATOR CLARK: The question has been called for. Do I see five hands? I do. The question before the House is ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Senator Clark voting aye.

SENATOR CLARK: Have you all voted on ceasing debate? Record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 27 ayes, 3 nays to cease debate.

SENATOR CLARK: Debate is ceased. Senator Newell, do you wish to close

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, I do. Mr. President, there has been a lot said about the inequity of the personal property tax. You know there are some arguments for parts of the personal property tax as being a very difficult tax to administer, as to being a very difficult tax to collect and one that requires some level of honesty on the individual tax payer. I admit that some of those arguments are very strong arguments. You know, we are talking about tax equality, Senator Schmit, we have to look at the whole issue. The whole issue is simply this. You can make those arguments maybe for