

drafted because it was technically imperfect. They said that there were problems on some of the federal matching funds, not all of them because of technical problems of the way they had to be exact. They said that there were questions about how this would effect property tax funds, agreed, but what they didn't say, what they didn't say was that it was unreasonable. They couldn't say that because it is super reasonable in today's times. There are center pivots that are not going to turn around this summer because people can't get the financing. I believe, and I am putting it on the record now, I'm putting it on the record now for my own self-aggrandizement or whatever is proper, maybe at the proper time I can say I told you so, you should have me doing something instead of somebody else. My point is simple. If Senator Carsten or Senator Fowler happen to have to be in business and happen to have to borrow money rather than get it from whatever government source might be involved, but if Senator Fowler had to borrow a hundred dollars today to stay in business he would be paying \$120, his cost of business would be very high. To overcome that he would need a terrific profit. Government is not in that same situation. The agencies are not. They are getting their increases without having to compensate for the 15, 17 or 18% inflation and interest. I submit to you if you believe the concept of reducing 5% or some such amount is reasonable vote for the amendment at this time, Mr. Cutshall is working, has been working for sometime to come up with exactly what Senator Warner is saying, the technical correction so that we don't do this and we don't do that, so on and so forth. Unfortunately I was going to attempt this on Final but I thought that I would at least broach the idea and say where I am coming from and where I think this economy is going to at this time. If 25 people in this body say yes, yes we can cut 5% which may well not be enough you may find that we are back in special session to cut more as a result of what this economy is going to do. But if you believe that it is reasonable give it the vote now and that is the dictate and the order to go back and draft the technical, perfect and correct language to put on at the next step. I urge you to support the amendment. I believe that the times call for it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion before the House is the DeCamp amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, the point is made, I'll wait for a more proper time.