

in and said we are going to have a 3% across the board cut. I disagreed with it at that time, I don't think that it is right this time. But I think that it demonstrated one point, that when he said you are going to cut 3% everybody cut. Everyone cut. They could get along with less of this and less of that and less of something else. If you think that the agencies can't get along, I'll promise you this. There will be not one of them that will go out of existence in the next year and I would suggest not one would lose their jobs. I just want to point out that we have reduced the income of the State of Nebraska by more than 15%. . . .

SENATOR CLARK: Your time is up, Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT:..in the last two and a half months,ladies and gentlemen, it is not to much to reduce expenditures by five.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Reutzel.

SENATOR REUTZEL: Question.

SENATOR CLARK: The question has been called for, do I see five hands? I do. The question before the house is shall the debate cease. All those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote nay.

CLERK: Senator Clark voting aye.

SSPEAKER MARVEL PRESIDING

SPEAKER MARVEL: The question before the house is shall debate cease. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 8 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp, you are closing.

SENATOR DeCAMP: I want you to hear the most important thing that was said today and it was said by Senator Goodrich, it was said by Senator Warner and I don't know if anybody else from the Appropriations Committee or affiliated with it in the past, the most important thing that they said was what they didn't say. Not one of them said that anybody couldn't cut five percent. Not one of them said that it was unreasonable. Not one of them raised any question about the need for a cut. Not one of them even suggested that the concept of reduction whether it is 2%, 5%, 7% or whatever under these conditions and these times was unreasonable. What did they say? They said as follows: There will be no reduction in collection of taxes the way the amendment was