

is not only true in ETV but it is true that a variety of agencies as a broad committee policy where equipment needed replacing, if it was appropriate replacement, the funds were put in. If it was new additional equipment, not currently used, then we looked at that separately and frequently turned it down and that was consistent with the same approach Senator Schmit is expressing and that is that replacing of equipment or new equipment ought to be deferred in difficult times but as Senator Schmit and I both understand that to refrain from replacing equipment which your upkeep is going to be substantial may very well be the most expensive way to go rather than the most economical way. Another major item 54, \$5,000 in the bill is for additional utilities at Mead transmission area where there is a larger transmitter that requires new power and then another addition that we have is over last years, is about \$87,000 for the payment on the building which the bonds were reissued a year ago and the refinancing of that required a lower payment the first year but now had to be increased by that amount to be consistent with what was required by the new issues, the bonds, although there was an overall savings in interest rate from that re-issue at the time that it was done. But the major item of course is one of equipment, it is replacement of existing equipment and I think that it is probably a prudent expenditure rather than one that ought to be deferred and it is replacement primarily as opposed to new equipment that does not now exist.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: I move the previous question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The previous question has been called for. Do I see five hands? Shall debate cease? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. The motion before the House is shall debate cease. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Senator Koch. Senator Koch. Record the vote.

CLERK: 19 ayes, 13 nays, Mr. President, to cease debate.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion fails. Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, colleagues, I think we have to understand exactly what we are doing with this particular appropriation. We have a TV Network and we have television equipment in the state, and now whether that was a right decision or not, far be it from me to say. But we do have it. We have got a tremendous state investment