

March 21, 1980

LB 887

a little more far-reaching than it should. Now that is not to say you wouldn't have NRDs involved in recreational and park activities. It is just saying when they go out and condemn property for that purpose then they are out of line. If they have a flood control project, any of the other eleven things that relates or has recreation as one of the parts of it, fine, but let me just quickly read to you what they can condemn property for: Erosion, prevention and control; prevention of damages from flood water; flood prevention and control; soil conservation; water supply for beneficial uses; pollution control; so on and so forth. Number eleven in that list in the Section 2-3229 says "development and management of recreational and park facilities". If there really is a big park or recreational facility, I guess I think the state, this Legislature, should get involved, maybe give the specific power for a particular area or something. Now you may know recently our Supreme Court started looking at the way the right of eminent domain was being used for flood control and they said, look, hey, you guys are going a little overboard on this. You are taking way more land than you need. You are taking more right or power over that land than you need. We will give you the right to use it for these purposes but we are limiting it to let's say a lease on the property or an easement and only the amount you actually need, not just surplus property that you are going to hold in storage for, I mean, surplus land you are going to hold in storage for some anticipated project sometime in the future. I urge you to adopt the amendment. I don't think we need to talk on it a great deal of time. You are going to be either for it or again it. I think it is a pretty decent amendment. I think we should pretty carefully watch the right of eminent domain that we keep giving away and be careful with it.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR CLARK: I realize this is not controversial but I have got twelve lights on up here. Senator Kelly is next.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I support the DeCamp move to limit the right of eminent domain as NRDs are concerned. It would not be my intention to support anything that would cripple the NRD programs that we have around the state and it would not be my intention to in any way damage the right of personal property which I take eminent domain authority to be just that, the right to confiscate personal property, maybe for a good use, maybe just to confiscate it. I point out to members of the