

one-third state and one third rancher participation. As I understand it in the budget committee deliberations this year this one-third was reduced to one-sixth. This is the part that I object to more than the cutting of funds that was also done at that time. A number of other states have similar programs and North Dakota, Nebraska, Texas, Colorado and Wyoming and Texas have a one-third, one-third program. There are different divisions with two or three other states. However, in Nebraska we have always had the one-third, one-third, the ranchers have, well the program is all ready under way and we have been telling the people that this would be the program this year, not realizing that by a five to four vote the Appropriations Committee would change this share. Now you are limiting the money, you are limiting the money and we are accepting that. But, I think you should give the department the authorization, the flexibility to go up to the one-third. It is not going to cost, we are not asking for more money under this amendment we are just asking for the one-third, one-third that we have always enjoyed in the past and I would ask that you would accept this amendment.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Dworak. Speaking on the Lamb amendment.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, I oppose the Lamb amendment. I think that we are very clearly indicating the amount of participation by the state. I think that it doesn't preclude other things. I think that it would be less than forthright if we put the third in and changed it from the sixth. I think that this is a late time, it is an end run of the first play and that didn't go and I kind of am disappointed that Senator Lamb is taking our time to try and run this same proposition through again.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Schmit, do you want to talk on this bill?

SENATOR SCHMIT: (No response).

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: (No response).

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would rise to oppose Senator Lamb's amendment. I want to make a couple of explanations. The discussion in the committee on this whole issue did not deal with east versus west or north or south or any of the other geographic types of arguments