

March 18, 1980

LB 486

hopeful since Senator Dworak withdrew his amendment that Senator Murphy would follow his leadership. Let's keep this bill as clean as we can. I might even be one who would be supporting such a measure at another time when it had come in and it had had a public hearing but on LB 486 which is the issue before us, let's not try to add our own pet problem solving mechanisms without the public hearing. We have sixteen days left in this legislative session. Some of us have legislation on General File which will not have any discussion at all and I would urge the withdrawal of the amendment. I would oppose it if it is left on.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner, do you wish to speak to the Murphy amendment?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, do I understand correctly this is an amendment to an amendment, a simple majority of those voting to adopt? Well, I have some sympathy for what Senator Murphy is suggesting and as a matter of fact, state aid bills I have had in the past had something comparable to it. Procedurally I would oppose it at this time, however, because I do not think a motion of this type ought to be adopted by a simple majority. If it was a 25 vote type of a majority elected members are willing to go with it, it is a different proposition but I cannot support it procedurally at this point even though I have some sympathy for it. It is controversial. It works two ways but, in fact, I had a similar type of amendment I was considering and had intended to offer for a discussion on Select File but as I have indicated I would not support it at this point.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Lamb, do you wish to speak to the Murphy amendment?

SENATOR LAMB: First of all, Mr. Speaker, we did...or we did not adopt the white copy. We rejected the committee amendments. Is that correct?

CLERK: The committee amendments were rejected, Senator.

SENATOR LAMB: And we have not adopted the white copy as an amendment to the bill?

CLERK: No, sir, not yet.

SENATOR LAMB: I see, okay. Well in discussing Senator Murphy's amendment and I support it because in the past one of the arguments for high tuition has been that these schools that accepted the nonresident students were suffering because they did not collect state aid. It sub-