

March 17, 1980

LB 822

people how to use their units, the manufacturers will say the visual observation is essential, and most courts require it right now. Why can it not be put into the law so that it is required throughout the state? Any time a state can have one portion of its jurisdiction which is advanced, that advanced position ought to become an example to the rest of the state and we should try to raise the quality of law enforcement to that level rather than make that high quality enforcement an anomaly and indicate we find something wrong with it. For the members who may not know it, radio microwaves can go beyond the range of your visual ability. It can pick up a semi three-quarters of a mile away, we will say. Some unit manufacturers say that you can get two miles range on a radar device. So you get a reading, then vehicles begin to come in view. The one in front is a small vehicle, then if you go by the reading first, then the first car that comes within the range is the one that you have to say has the ticket. But all of the evidence indicates that the size, the shape, the speed, the material of which the target vehicle is constructed will determine which gives back the strongest signal, and the strongest signal is the one which is picked up by the radar unit. One other item...from the Traffic Safety Administration report. The indication was that some of these units are so unstable or inaccurate or unreliable or however way you want to phrase it, that as vehicles approach there is no way to determine which one was being read until they begin to actually pass the unit and go out of the zone of influence. So if that is the way the situation is that we are facing now, why are you going to take away and seem to indicate that something is wrong with the method of making speed readings which those who manufacture these devices say ought to be the procedure to be followed? I am opposed to Senator Pirsch's amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Pirsch, do you wish to close on your amendment?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am not arguing the training on the job and the on-the-job experience is essential to the operation of radar equipment. What I am saying is in...in the language left in, determinations made regarding the speed of any motor vehicle based upon the visual observation of any law enforcement officer may be corroborated by the use of radio microwaves, etcetera. That is in the language left in. What I object to is when you say the results of such radio microwave or other electronic speed measurement may be accepted as competent evidence if

8477