

about to tell you. What she is doing is going contrary to....this is what Colonel Kometscher said October 25th. "I think an experienced traffic officer is readily capable of identifying the speed from visual observation". Colonel Kometscher is Superintendent of the State Patrol. On page 30: "It does require experience as a traffic officer and my biggest problem going back out on the road would be to be secure in my opinion of speed by looking at the target vehicle, probably come close, but it takes continuous observation". Here is a man who has been away from traffic radar for a large number of years who says that even he being away could come close in estimating speed. Then here is Captain Svoboda who trains State Patrol troopers, on pages 31 and 32: "The training given the officer enabled him to affirm his judgment in the speed of a vehicle he has identified". The emphasis was that the radar confirms what the officer has determined. But here is a more direct quote. Pages 32 and 33: "That their own observation as experienced traffic officers to identify a vehicle that was traveling an excessive speed and then use the radar to merely verify their judgment". "Verify"...she is trying to eradicate what the State Patrol trainer testified they teach these people to do. Then he goes on to tell about the audio monitoring on page 42, Captain Svoboda: "We wanted this automatic lock unhooked so that the officer, number one, he observe the target and would have his judgment. That vehicle was speeding and he had his audio to verify that he has a target the speed reading on the radar, and then it is up to him whether he locks that speed in or not". So they observe first. They track it with the audio, then they check the read-out on the computer. Now, if you are not going to accept facts, I don't know what we can offer to show you what kind of situation we are dealing with, and I have a copy of the transcript and any of you can get it who want to read it all and see what the steps are that they follow.

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have one minute.

SENATOR CHAMBER: In Police Magazine, September of 1979: "Most courts have also ruled that radar evidence is sufficient to convict a defendant of speeding only when it is coupled with the police operator's visual observation of the offense." I will tell you again and I will quote from this...from the Law Enforcement Training Center in Nebraska. "Visual and audio observations are perhaps the easiest ways to discern a false reading". Those microwaves can go beyond the range of your sight so if it is at night a reading could come on from outside interference, headlights appear and that person is tabbed a speeder, and all of the evidence