

found out in other jurisdictions, especially California, where radar is not allowed to be used by the highway patrol, that...and they write about 17 percent of all of the traffic tickets in the country, that a visible cruiser does far more to slow down the flow of traffic than any number hiding behind abutments or behind hills and things like that. We are not making law enforcement a game of cat and mouse, but because of the attitude that certain law enforcement agencies have adopted, there is now literally an electronic war going on. It is known that radar has certain inherent defects such as, it can be influenced by other electronic noises...neon lights and some of the other things. So, there are people who have created a device now. It violates FCC's rules and regulations so it is not for sale, but they can hit a dial and turn up any speed they want to on the monitoring radar device. They send out their radio signal. The radar picks it up and reads whatever that device tells it to pick up. The frequency, in other words, of the radio signals sent out by this device is controlled by the knob. So if they think that cruisers are monitoring traffic in that area, they can turn up the speed of 30 miles an hour and the unit cannot get through that cloud of microwaves and it will read 35 miles an hour as long as that device is on, which means that radar can be defeated. So, if you are going to try to get a law, and it would probably take a federal law to do it, you would have to deal with the device that can jam the radar or influence its operation. These devices that you are talking about, you named the "fuzzbuster", but this definition includes anything which in any way informs the citizen that his or her car is being monitored by radar or any other electronic device. I think it is a poor amendment. I don't think it is going to do that much good, but I think it would clutter this bill. So what I wish you would do...well, you probably can't do it. Maybe you can find another bill. I have got a bill, 330, sitting on General File. If you want to take that and gut it. It was going to raise the speed limit to 65 miles an hour. I have dropped that bill. I am not going to push it. I asked that it be passed over. You take that bill and gut it and let it carry just your amendment so that if it is struck down or for any reason found to be invalid, it won't ruin the whole bill related to training and the other requirements that courts are now insisting on. And one other provision...Senator Wagner, I think, mentioned that out in greater Nebraska there is a judge who, unless an officer can testify that he allowed the motorist to view the reading on the radar, the ticket is thrown out. So we are trying to get uniformity. You should