

March 17, 1980

LB 822

SENATOR REUTZEL: Well, it doesn't make any....whether we know or not, the fact is there is nothing on the street and they are registering speeds on there. Now the training is one thing, but the reliability of the unit is something else to consider, and if a motorist, as far as I am concerned, wants to have a device to protect himself on something which is less than accurate...

SENATOR SIECK: Okay...

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have a minute left.

SENATOR SIECK: I have four cases in my files here where it has been proven that they have been accurate within one mile jurisdiction, and have been testified. One was on an Interstate and the others were on state highways.

SENATOR REUTZEL: Testified by who?

SENATOR SIECK: Where there was another....well, this was by a judge in a court, a complete hearing, and a case that was held and it was contested and it was won, that the radar was right.

SENATOR REUTZEL: One quick question as time is running here. Does your....what is the intent of your amendment if you have a device in your car but is not hooked up? Is that in violation then of the intent of your amendment?

SENATOR SIECK: No, the intent of the amendment is if it is in the car, in the back seat or in the trunk, then it is not in violation of the amendment.

SENATOR REUTZEL: What if it is in the front seat?

SENATOR SIECK: If it is in the front seat then it is in violation of the law.

SENATOR REUTZEL: Even if it is not hooked up?

SENATOR SIECK: Even if it isn't hooked up because you are using it as a device.

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have fifteen seconds left.

SENATOR REUTZEL: So if you have a unit, under your amendment, if you have a unit that is not hooked up, it is not functioning, you are in violation of the law?

SENATOR SIECK: Right....

8467