

March 11, 1980

LB 308

reasons are very simple again. Number one, we had some tremendous difficulty with it yesterday and I think it is better that we don't put it on and have any additional confusion. The second reason is from a business standpoint. There are some difficulties with using this approach and I think we can do it better by dealing with it on a yearly basis. However, we have to and I would urge you to reject the amendment for that reason.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President and members of the body, I also rise in opposition to the Burrows amendment, but I have two more reasons beyond the two that Senator DeCamp indicated, and one of those reasons is that in the Consumer Protection Act when you change the rate on individual accounts, retail type accounts, you must individually notify each of your customers. Well...or your account holders. That would create a tremendous difficult situation for the retailers of the State of Nebraska. The other reason I rise in opposition to the Burrows amendment is that individual...when you are dealing with a complex subject such as this we are affecting all of commerce throughout the State of Nebraska and we do not need individual amendments that have not...that the body and the industry have not had an opportunity to look at to compare to apply to the bill to see just exactly what is in it and what is not in it, and the net effect of each individual amendment. All we are getting is what the individual senator shoots at us and tells us on the floor. Well, that is not a well thought out way of handling this kind of a subject matter that must be well thought out if we are not going to cripple industry...commerce rather in the State of Nebraska, and I would strongly urge for these two reasons besides the two that John gave you not to adopt the Burrows amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Schmit. We are speaking on the Burrows amendment.

SENTOOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I also want to oppose the amendment by my good friend, Senator Burrows, for yet another reason than those already mentioned. I certainly do not want to place into the statute any indication that this Legislature feels that this economy can survive with this kind of interest rate for the next three years. I thought about opposing the amendment yesterday on that basis, because I think that sometimes what we do here may have an implication far greater than the written word, and the implication that this nation...