

February 21, 1980

LB 94

and no broad interpretation of three words will change in any way the intent of the Legislature when they adopted that act. I would be very much reluctant to create a false impression in legislative intent.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. Speaker and colleagues, I would just like to stand briefly to take strong exception with the remarks of Senator Murphy with respect to the legislative intent in the consumer protection act and I would like to make two points with respect to that. First, Section 59-1602 states that unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce shall be unlawful. Now that goes far beyond the antimonopolistic construction that Senator Murphy has placed on this particular bill. Now there is language in the consumers protection act that contains antimonopolistic provisions but there are other sections in the act other than the one that I just read, Section 59-1602. Now in order to directly refute Senator Murphy's assertions as to what the legislative history was, let me make two points. First of all that section is taken virtually word for word from Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act which has been applied to prohibit all kinds of acts far beyond antimonopolistic tendencies or antimonopolistic practices. Now in addition to that, at the same time this Legislature adopted the consumer protection act in 1974, it adopted Section 59-829 which specifically provides that any provision of Chapter 59 shall follow the construction given to the federal law by the federal courts. In other words, the construction the federal courts have given to Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, which is virtually identical language to Section 1602, is also to be given to Section 1602 by the state courts in Nebraska pursuant to Section 59-829. So I think if the Legislature or anyone attempting to construe the legislative history of this particular act has reference to the language in the statutes itself will see that it clearly refutes Senator Murphy's contention today and that that was not the intent of the Legislature then and it is not the intent of the Legislature today in asking that the consumer protection act be applied to this particular industry. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, again I am going to be able to be brief. I have