

February 21, 1980

LB 94

about this bill last year. They forced it back to committee for additional review, for additional amendments that I think give us...

PRESIDENT: One minute, Senator Cullan.

SENATOR CULLAN: ...an even stronger consumer oriented bill than we have had in the past. That discussion was good and beneficial. Now I think we are ready to move ahead and ensure that the board stays in existence. I would remind the Legislature that if we do not pass LB 94 these two boards, their statutory authorization, their authority for rules and regulations will be eliminated this year and so what the effect of not passing this bill will be to eliminate the regulation that we have now over these industries. With that, I simply close and urge you to adopt the committee amendments and then consequently move the bill.

PRESIDENT: Before we go onto the next speaker, the Chair would like to introduce from Senator Schmit's district some guests, 32 eighth grade students and 8 adults from St. Mary's School in David City with Father Robert Hrdlicka and they are up here in the North balcony. Would they stand and be recognized? Welcome to your Legislature. We also have under the South balcony Mr. and Mrs. Leslie Kime from Valentine, from Senator Lamb's district, and Genevieve Lawrence who is a guest of Senators Cope and Kahle. She is from Kearney. Would they stand and be recognized? Welcome to your Legislature. The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I can be very, very brief in my comments. I may have some concerns later on with the bill but I am going to talk to Senator Cullan about that. I don't want to hinder the bill from advancing this morning, so if I have other types of amendments, I will try to work that out before the bill reaches Select File. I will alert you to one potential difficulty that I see, and Senator Johnson has discussed it with me and we both discussed it with Senator Cullan. The penalty provision which is a part of the committee amendments I think should be adopted, but you should have it brought to your attention that that penalty provision relates to violation of Sections 59-1601 to 59-1622, whereas, LB 94 deals with different provisions of the statute from Chapters 33-71 and others so it may be necessary to allow a right of action to an aggrieved individual who would suffer injury as a result of violations