

for the building of railroads in the first place and many of our constitutional prohibitions in the late 1870s were because of that practice, I think I guess we removed that constitutional restriction in recent years but while I am in total sympathy with the intent of the bill I cannot support further expansion of the direct or indirect extension of the state's credit or governmental subdivision credit for the operation of activity which is traditionally and properly in the private sector.

SPEAKER MARVEL PRESIDING

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: A question of Senator Vickers if I may. Senator, you are striking the provision that any grants or other funds would be placed...I'm sorry. It was my understanding that you had stricken the provision that funds would be placed in the state fund that we create. I understand now that that is Senator Kremer's amendment. So I guess I would have to ask Senator Kremer that question. Senator Kremer, if we strike the provision that funds coming from other sources be placed in the state fund, where would those funds go then, to the Council fund?

SENATOR KREMER: It would go to the Council fund, but any state money, Senator Murphy, I tried to explain yesterday, and money appropriated by this Legislature could be only for administrative purposes, not for revitalization.

SENATOR MURPHY: And would go only into the designated state fund? Anything that is appropriated for would...

SENATOR KREMER: The state fund as the bill sets up, the only money that would go into the state fund would be that appropriated by the Legislature, as I understand it.

SENATOR MURPHY: And used only for administration?

SENATOR KREMER: And used only for administration and the state fund or the Department of the State Treasurer where the state funds are deposited would go only for administrative purposes and in no way for the building of the railroad.

SENATOR MURPHY: Other funds would go directly to the Council?

SENATOR KREMER: That is right.

SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you.