

Brandt fed it into him relative to the application of federal regulations designed to prohibit sexism in the granting of credit. We have had a situation here of a special interest bill which I think has shown the special interests at work at their very worst. They have misled their introducer. They made him think the bill was good...

SENATOR CLARK: You have one minute, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...when it was introduced. After amendments have been adopted, even one offered by the introducer, it becomes necessary to offer a brand new section to the bill and when I sit down I am going to listen very carefully to what Senator Beutler says in defense of this bill because I have some questions additional to those that I have raised about the kind of language he would like to put into this hodge-podge but I hope that you will prevent all of this additional debate by moving the bill back and striking the enacting clause as is contained in Senator Marsh's motion.

SENATOR CLARK: The Chair recognizes Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, this motion catches me a little bit by surprise I guess. I don't know quite where to begin, whether you start with the arguments all over again or whether you try to address specific points. It is hard to tell what is on everybody's mind at this point in time I guess. First of all, let me say that it is entirely inaccurate to say that at the beginning I didn't know what the bill contained. I knew what the thrust was at the beginning. I know what it is now. Philosophically I have no problems with the bill at all. The newspaper account did not say that I said I didn't understand the bill. What the newspaper account said is that I underestimated the reaction to the bill and I still to this day do not understand the reaction to the bill. The reaction of the bill is way out of proportion to the realities of the situation. The main thrust of what has been said seems to be that somebody is trying to dupe you, that somebody is trying to put something over on you. I don't even know whether to address that problem because we have had two long two-hour plus debates hitting every point possible that I can think of on this bill and I think you are aware of all the arguments. I don't think that anyone is being fooled by anything. I think everybody understands the bill. Senator Chambers says that those speaking in favor of the bill have been saying conflicting things. I'm not sure if that is accurate in the first place but in the second place it is certainly true that those who have opposed the bill have been saying conflicting things, in fact it has been quite obvious