

we are voting on. That is very unfortunate and it is wrong. My problem is that I am not sure that a constitutional provision of reading these bills is really the best way to deal with the problem that we have, that we would probably act too quickly on bills, not only on Final Reading, Select File, the example I just gave you and occasionally on General File with some of the Consent Calendar work that we do. Senator Marvel has just suggested an alternative that we look at the different stages, General File and Select File as well as Final Reading trying to find checks that can make sure that we know very clearly and succinctly what we are voting on and why we are voting on it and so what I am suggesting is, I probably will vote for the advancement of this bill. I probably will vote for ~~it~~ on Final Reading but I do not deny that there is a problem that Final Reading is able to deal with in a way that I think does some good, but what I am saying is perhaps there is an alternative that we have not pursued that we have been complaining about Final Reading and we have not looked at the fact that there may be some other changes in the rules and that is what the Reutzler amendment provided for in this constitutional amendment that might better deal with the problem of making sure about a bill before we vote on it and so I am suggesting that the responsibility would be placed squarely on this Legislature if we do pass this constitutional amendment and the people of this state do adopt it, the responsibility would be there for the Legislature to adopt the rules. It would probably in a better fashion deal with the problem at hand and that is to make sure of the legislation we are voting on and I think there is a lot we can do. Senator Marvel has had some ideas and the LR 168 Committee this summer had some ideas. We haven't implemented them all. We have more things we could be doing but my suggestion is that the rules are the more proper place to deal with this situation, not the Constitution.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Reutzler.

SENATOR REUTZEL: Mr. President, members of the body, no one has suggested that if we do away with this we can introduce more bills and pass more bills. That is not the intent or the purpose. Speaker Marvel suggested that at some point we should have a thorough examination section by section of the bill. We all know that Final Reading is not the place we are going to do that even if this is retained within the Constitution. The time and the place for that is on General File or Select File but what we seem to forget is if we can eliminate 20 to 25% of the