

January 22, 1980

LB 498

that you do not support 498.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Haberman a question if he will yield.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman, will you respond to the question?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Yes, I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Haberman, this notice is designed, all notices are, to let people know that something is occurring in which they may have an interest. If I understand your position correctly, you said that you think this notice, this one that is attempting to be cut off, is essential to notify people who otherwise would not be notified. Is that a correct statement of your position?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Yes, Senator Chambers, because someone might miss this one notice. They might have missed the other ones but catch this one.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Haberman, then by your logic would you be in favor of requiring five additional notices, so that if they miss this fourth one if we leave it in then they have got five more chances to catch it?

SENATOR HABERMAN: That is a good question, Senator Chambers, and I would have to say, no, I do not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why not, Senator Haberman? Do you feel that the person who misses four is any better than the one?

SENATOR HABERMAN: No, but Senator Chambers, I feel that the attorneys are asking this. The public is not asking for this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, Senator Haberman, even attorneys on occasion, and I don't like attorneys in general, that's one reason I won't allow myself to be classed as one. I am still a human being, Senator Haberman. I could become an attorney whenever I choose, but I choose not to be, so I am not a lobbyist for the attorneys. But, on occasion, they even have a glimmer of the truth. So aside from what you stated, do you have a real reason based on evidence to show that this extra notice has called something to peoples' attention