

question had to do with bartenders supervising people, is that right?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, apparently the intent of the law provides that since the drinking takes place on a controlled premise, that the tavern owner is responsible, or has the responsibility for what takes place on his premises. Is that right?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, he always has had a general responsibility. That is certainly right.

SENATOR SCHMIT: But I believe, it is my impression that when you make a different condition as a premise for drinking intoxicated beverages on the premises or off the premises, do you not add responsibility to the tavern owner by the passage of this kind of a law?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: No, we are not adding any new responsibilities to the tavern owner, just the same old responsibilities they have always had will stay in effect.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Insofar as the responsibility is concerned there is no reason then to limit where a person can drink unless there is a reason as you have stated that under a controlled premises the supervision in one way or another guides or limits the drinking, is that right?

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have twenty seconds.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I just didn't follow that question.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, just one more question then that you can answer very simply, do you think that responsibility should extend off the premises perhaps to the inclusion of a dramshop amendment in the legislation where the tavern owner would be responsible for the activities of an individual after he had left the premises?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, Senator Schmit, there are a lot of complicated considerations relating to dramshop amendments, and I don't think I would be in favor of attaching that to this particular bill. I would like to take a look at that as a separate measure at a committee hearing, I think, because it is real complicated.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Time is up. Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, irrespective of what final form we end up with on the