

criticizing the President. He is getting enough of that in Iowa already from the Republicans and Bobby (sic) Kennedy and Mr. Brown, whatever his first name is. Mr. President, I move the amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I had hoped that everyone had listened when I spoke out earlier. I stated very strongly and I will restate it for those who were visiting when they should have been listening, that I bear no personal animosity toward the President. I respect the President, and I am one person who will never, who will never take personal vendetta against any president sitting, or former president, who has borne the burdens of that office, but that does not give me the right to advocate my responsibility to criticize those actions which I deem not to be in the national interest. Now insofar as the amendment to the resolution is concerned, the question relative to paragraph (5) whether President Carter promised never to use grain embargoes or not, that really is not at issue here. I think as we all know that on August 25th, 1976 President Carter said, it is not my idea of a fair shake when government promotes foreign sales and then cuts them off for political convenience. There aren't going to be any more embargoes if I am elected President, he declared. That is a matter of record. We are not arguing about that. I won't even argue with the number (8) paragraph which says: "Whereas, President Carter did not provide sufficient remedy to counter-balance the impact of the embargo". All I say is, check the market system that will tell you whether the remedy was sufficient or not. The state of Nebraska is suffering about \$600,000,000 of damages on that. I am not arguing about that. There are those here who are naive enough to believe that you can recover from a \$600,000,000 loss in a matter of days, or hours or weeks. I wish that this were true. We know better. The issue here is the Constitution. Does the president...does any president, not just this president, have the right and the authority to intervene in the market system in a manner which is so devastating to individual citizens? Secondly, does he have the right to do so selectively? Had all products been embargoed across the board, including the Pepsi-Cola and the Ford Motor trucks and everything else, all the high technology, all the foreign exchange programs, had all those products been embargoed the issue would be moot. The fact is that the grain, broilers, agricultural items were embargoed. Who will suffer? Not the Communist leadership. If anyone suffers, it will be the working people, the poorer people of Russia. What is most