

January 9, 1980

LB 374

person may have this, another may not, just from the general feeling of the judge and that bothers me. If there is three distinct areas, then I cannot see why it shouldn't be required. I can understand your explanation of the lesser penalty but it rather bothers me but I am glad to hear your explanation of it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Kahle and then Senator Chambers.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I would like to have a word with Senator Nichol please.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Nichol.

SENATOR KAHLE: I noticed on the committee report, Senator Nichol, that you voted against the bill. Has there been any changes made since then or I guess my question would be why you did not favor?

SENATOR NICHOL: I have some reasons which I probably will give later as to why I am not vigorously supporting this bill, but as Chairman of Judiciary Committee, I should report it out as it was and I think perhaps some of the other members may have some objection to it, too. For instance, as long as you have asked I will say one of the reasons is that when you take the original driver's license away from a person, some people like to use a driver's license as a means of identification, cashing checks, whatever they use a driver's license for, and if they had to have a copy, I thought that perhaps this would hinder them somewhat in doing it this way. So that is just one of my reasons. I am sure you will be hearing some of the other objections shortly.

SENATOR KAHLE: Could this person get an ID license? We passed some legislation I think last year that if you did not or were not able to get a driver's license you could get a similar document that would be for identification only?

SENATOR NICHOL: I would assume that they could get an ID license for that purpose temporarily at least.

SENATOR KAHLE: Thank you. That is all.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature,