

May 23, 1979

LB 594

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion fails. Read the next motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner moves pursuant to Rule 6, Section 13, that the Legislature override the Governor's line-item veto of the Wayne State College renovation of the Education and Humanities Buildings in Program 917, Section 32, on page 14 of LB 594.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, Rule 6, Section 13, requires the Appropriations Committee to report the impact of any line-item vetoes. Under the provisions of that law LB 594 had three line-item vetoes, one dealing with Section 28 which reduced the total projected authorized cost for a facility at the Kearney State College, and reduced the total project cost by \$400,000. It was previously a little over \$4,000,000. It would be reduced to \$3,600,000. The Committee is not recommending that that line-item veto be overridden. Secondly, the Section 38 of the bill had a line-item reduction of \$36,000 dealing with the Theater Arts Building at the University of Nebraska at Lincoln, and there was also language deleted in the appropriation bill including the alternative provision for use of the appropriation for renovation of the existing building and/or for new construction adjacent to that building. The Committee discussed the process of language...the deletion of language as well as adjustment in figures at some length, but the ultimate conclusion, I won't get into all of that aspects, of the Committee was to not recommend to the body that that veto be overridden either. The third item was Section 32 which dealt with a facility at the state college located at Wayne. Effect of the Governor's veto is to reduce the appropriation for '80-'81. He left intact the appropriation for the current coming up fiscal year, '79-'80, but he reduced that by \$711,000 but left the total project cost for the structure at approximately a million and a half. The Committee is recommending that that veto be considered and overridden by the Legislature for two...or three reasons inasmuch as the total project was authorized even with the Governor's veto, inasmuch as the total cost of the project was authorized by the veto but only delayed or deferred by twelve months. I think it's the feeling of the Committee that it would be appropriate to go ahead at this time and approve the appropriation, recognizing that inflation, while the total dollar amount is already set, inflation could adversely affect the type of construction