

May 22, 1979

without having information about it. Now it is very difficult when we are discussing a bill and it is supposed to be on the subject of whether or not to raise the bill from committee, it becomes very difficult not to get in the discussion of the merits or lack of merits of the bill. I don't think really in this case it is at all possible to separate the two. I would suggest again with the types of issues this body has dealt with and on a limited basis I think that we have showed considerable restraint in using this particular procedure only four times to my recollection this session. Again all major bills. I think that it is imperative to cast as intelligent a vote as we possibly can. There is no way that that could possibly be done, as far as I am concerned, under Senator Fitzgerald's motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Newell, speaking to the Fitzgerald amendment.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, can I ask the Clerk one more time to read the amendment.

CLERK: Read Fitzgerald amendment.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, I was wondering, Senator Fitzgerald, would you answer a question? Senator Fitzgerald, I kind of like your proposal but I think that one of the problems that have been brought out is what if the Chairman is in agreement with bringing the bill from committee, should we offer some clarifying language to the rule which indicates that that can not be done unless...it can only, the member from the committee speaking would have to be in opposition?

SENATOR FITZGERALD: Yes, I would be agreeable to that.

SENATOR NEWELL: How about an opposing member of the committee?

SENATOR FITZGERALD: Yes.

SENATOR NEWELL: Was that a friendly amendment?

SENATOR FITZGERALD: Yes.

CLERK: The easiest way to handle that if I can suggest Senator is for Senator Fitzgerald just to alter his proposal. Now, Senator, tell me what you are doing please.

SENATOR NEWELL: I think that Senator Fitzgerald wants to offer a clarifying amendment which said "an opposing member of the