

May 21, 1979

LB 378

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Nichol, and then Senator Duis.

SENATOR NICHOL: Well, I thought we had this pretty well worked out, Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, but here we are at this late date now. I'm going to take the same stance that the body took this morning. Here we come in this late with something that hasn't been discussed too much, so I just suggest we turn it down and if it's all-fired that important we will do what we did with the other one this morning, we will study it during the interim and change it next year. But I just hate, Senator Hoagland, to have you continue this. I thought we had it worked out quite well until the last minute here. So I suggest we delay it until next year if it's that important.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I am curious too at this late date, and I would like to ask Senator Hoagland a question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Hoagland, will you respond?

SENATOR DUIS: Senator Hoagland, did you confer with the Attorney General? Are these verbal things? When I would confer with him he generally doesn't verbalize. It bothers me in any bill, I don't care whether it's this one or any other one coming in at this particular time with an amendment. Did you discuss it with the rest of the Judiciary Committee?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, Senator Duis, we had this meeting last Thursday morning and the Attorney General....

SENATOR DUIS: Pardon me, by "we" we mean who, the Judiciary Committee?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: No, John Goc and a member of the Attorney General's office and myself, and he raised two...the member of the Attorney General's office raised two constitutional problems. Now one of them we took care of at 9:15. Now, that happened very quickly and I wasn't aware that it was going to happen so I didn't get up on my feet to suggest we take care of both of them simultaneously. We could have handled it then. We took care of the other problem real easily, and I just suggest we take care of this one now. Both problems were presented to us by the