CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR WARNER: Okay. Presuming that is what will occur, then Senator Schmit indicated we had visited on this amendment. While I may have some reservations, nevertheless, I would think it is appropriate to support the amendment and for a number of reasons. First, it will as Senator Schmit indicated, have no impact on increasing the general fund by using the transfer but there may be some concern that using out of this conservation fund may in some way have an adverse effect and I want to suggest to you that because of the discretionary fund which in all probability would be used by the Natural Resource Commission for the resource fund rather than the conservation fund, it would seem to me that they would have the authority within the appropriation bill to use a little more of the discretionary fund for the conservation fund and keep it at the minimum that was appropriated this year and really have no adverse effect on that program. Now if you take it out of the resource fund, in the long run I don't believe that either creates a problem because essentially a great many projects are approved in that resource development fund and the draw down on money this current year, I don't believe would be affected one way or the other by the $300,000 being transferred. It seems to me there is something like $4,000,000 or so reappropriated for projects now. They have the authority to do their... continue their obligation of projects and while it could have some potential for an adverse effect on either one of these two programs, I really don't believe that as a factual matter, as a practical matter that there will be an adverse effect and I would sense that the Legislature probably wants to give some indication for additional support for the expansion of gasohol plants. I realize that there appears to be some federal funds that are beginning to be talked about for loans for small plants...

SENATOR NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...I am much more comfortable with the amendment as Senator Schmit has presented it where the approval for its disbursement is conditioned upon the Governor by making sure that the requirements of 424 are being complied with and I assume that they also will be checked with the Attorney General and I would assume that there would be no concern that the money would be incorrectly used as far as compliance with LB 424, so while I am not as wild as I might be, I think what Senator Schmit is proposing is a reasonable solution at