

May 21, 1979

LB 363A, 597

have an emergency which the Judiciary has been warned about for at least three months, and it appears strange to me that they couldn't have come in with some solution a couple of months ago. I guess that's my comment that maybe you can comment on.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Marvel, when you work with lawyers, judges, courts, this is not uncommon and especially during the session. When something is thrown out the window as unconstitutional, something really ought to be done. Now we're lucky that the Judicial Committee and the Judiciary staff were working on this prior to its becoming unconstitutional so it looked like the handwriting was on the wall. But as you can see, 597 is near the bottom of the General File and we just simply couldn't get it ahead.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion?

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes.

PRESIDENT: Senator Johnson.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I do have to make a comment though on the urgency of this matter. What Judge Denney has held unconstitutional was some old statutes that we have had which deal with the attachment of bank accounts and other intangible properties prior to obtaining a judgment in litigation. Now that which has not been held unconstitutional is the replevin statute which deals with prejudgment attachment of T.V. sets, cars, and other tangible properties, nor what has not been held unconstitutional is the postjudgment, that is, you get a judgment against the debtor, the postjudgment attachment of bank accounts, life insurance proceeds and the like, or the postjudgment collection through tangible personal properties. So, and in my own experience I do know that the prejudgment attachment process is not used that frequently. I don't think any sense of urgency requires us to pass this legislation without General File and Select File debate. We're not in a crisis situation in terms of collecting deb'ts. We have adequate laws remaining, and the law that's been held unconstitutional is seldom used. Therefore, it seems to me that this matter should pend until next year and we'll take it up in the regular course of business.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Well, just to say as I said, I have no problems with the amendment. I've read it. It deals with