

May 14, 1979

LB 158

158 I would remind you that one of my chief opponents on the floor was my good friend Senator Murphy and I accepted several of his amendments in good faith thinking that he would eventually accept the bill. It is obvious that when he puts this motion on there, and I was aware of it, he advised me of it, that he still has some ill feelings about 158. So, rather than have it lay over indefinitely because of his motion, I am asking that we suspend the rules and take up his motion to indefinitely postpone today so as not to hinder the bill any further. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is to suspend the rules, as per the discussion. Are you ready for the question? Senator Murphy your light is on. Senator Murphy, do you wish to be recognized on the motion?

SENATOR MURPHY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would support Senator Koch's move to handle the bill. If ever there was a bill that deserved killing this is it and I would just as soon get on with it. But, seriously I would not want to use a technicality to stall it to death. Let's either kill it or go home sorry.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is to take up the Koch motion to suspend the rules. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no to take up the kill motion to LB 158. Record.

CLERK: 35 ayes, 2 nays to suspend the rules and consider the indefinitely postpone motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, motion is carried. Senator Murphy, you are up.

SENATOR MURPHY: Ladies and gentlemen, This bill is represented as being the implementation of a Constitutional amendment. If I could think of everything possible I could do wrong to implement that Constitutional amendment I think that they would have been into this bill. I am not certain, so I'm not speaking with any malice, who is responsible for the drafting, but this bill has wedded redevelopment to community development to urban renewal. Now if any of you have read Section 2, you will find that in there we are incorporating Sections 18, 2101 to 2144, Now that cuts down the bulk of the bill that lays before you but it cuts down none of the impediments. For instance, we have said in the Constitutional amendment that there would be a 15 year limitation. Yet in 2107 you find that there is a 99 year limitation. Which one applies? I don't know. Because in Section 14 we have said, anytime the word urban renewal is used it means community redevelopment. Similarly among the powers that we are granting in this blind acceptance