

May 14, 1979

LB 585

look good at the expense of local government and I don't see how in the world you can pass 285 and then not put any more state funding into these shared programs that we have with subdivisions of government and go home and sleep at night with your conscience because I don't think that is fair. I don't think it is correct. I think we ought to face up to it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Before we go to the next speaker in the North balcony from Senator Reutzel's district, seventeen kindergarten through sixth grade students from District 88, Fremont, Nebraska. Susan Baumgartner is the teacher. Would you raise your hands so we can see where you are and welcome. Senator Warner and then Senator Lamb and then Senator Keyes.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, as it has been indicated the Appropriations Committee met formally this morning. It was in unanimous agreement that the goal of keeping the state within 7% was one that this Legislature and the committee in particular would hope to be accomplished. I would suggest that maybe local government could do just as we are proposing to do, is to cut some things out in order to stay under 7% and we always seem to presume that everything has to be spent locally. I assume they could, following our example, make some cuts also on areas that may well hurt because things we are proposing to cut or have all ready cut may well hurt. What the committee is suggesting in effect is to take out about \$751,822 out of 591 and 594 for this year and it has an effect of taking another half million, \$564,000, out for '80-'81 or a grand total of almost \$1,300,000 and then part of that of course would be to state aid, would need to come out and then the proposal on 138 which would be to increase it at 2% increments but only for two years. It reduces this year's cost of the 138 by \$556,000, a million three next year but then the obligation that the state is incurring is being done by the membership of this Legislature while they still will be members. We have had the experience a time or two, perhaps a time or two too many, where we have established future obligations on future legislatures creating some financial difficulties. It seems to me that we need to have the assessment of the limitation on local government, the legislation, 285, before us before we expand state obligations to aid. It seems to me that with this total package everybody's interest is giving somewhat but at the same time we will accomplish that overall goal of an example for local government throughout the state that you can stay within the 7% even when it hurts and I would hope that the majority of the body could see fit to go with these several amendments