

May 11, 1979

LB 398

to a certain stage of consideration to be returned by a nonlegislative member and thereby killed. So I am not going to offer a rules change either but I think it is something that I think the body ought to consider.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak, your light was on.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, this was my amendment that was adopted that caused this particular problem and the amendment was a good faith amendment which I think most of the members of the body agreed with. Obviously they did because it got 25 votes and the processing of this amendment should not be an impediment to this bill either going or not going. I think we are on a technicality here and I think the bill should go at this point, and then when we have a full body here and we are on Final Reading, that would be the time to make the adjudication on the bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Reutzel, your light is on. Do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR REUTZEL: A question of the Chair. On page 32 of the rules, Rule 6, section 4, sub (1), "Any bill failing to receive 25 votes to be advanced to E & R Final after two attempts shall be indefinitely postponed." Should this bill not be advanced, what is the ruling of the Chair since the rule did not (interruption)?

SPEAKER MARVEL: I would rather wait until that issue comes up and then I will answer the question, if that is all right with you. Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, I am talking against myself and I don't like the way the bill sits now but I don't think we should use these tactics to hold up any bill. I don't like the bill at all the way it is but I really don't think we should use these tactics. I have seen them before. I have seen them over there on Final and somebody could have put a kill motion on it to hold it over a day when you know darn well the next day is going to be no good perhaps. I am not a stickler on rules but I realize we have to have them here but I really don't like these kind of tactics. We may lose the thing the way we would like to have it, some of us, but I really don't think we should use this way of doing it. If the opposition has the votes on Final, we just plain lose, but if we do it by this hook or crook, and I think this is hook or crook, I think we are just going to screw up a bunch of stuff for each other rather than keeping cooler heads and doing what we think we ought to do in the way we