

May 10, 1979

LB 120

that the material is understandable if we had a chance to pursue it?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Senator Chambers, different human beings have different levels of understanding and I am sure you have voted on various bills without understanding them in the past.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, would you answer my question, Senator DeCamp? Do you think on this measure we should vote without understanding it provided it is understandable if we had the time to pursue it?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I think the bill is quite understandable. It has been before you any amount of time. I can't take each individual and make their heads absorb or not absorb something. You know, as I say, I think everybody should vote on the basis of their understanding. I would hope you would have some understanding of the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if I don't understand it, I should not vote?

SENATOR DeCAMP: That is fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Cullan.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, Senator DeCamp did hand out some information about this bill so that we could understand it and I certainly want to defend him there. He did provide us with some information, and because we are moving hastily, I would like to read it so that you all know exactly what we are supposed to be talking about. This is what Senator DeCamp sent us. "Would have had to completely redo sales tax form on computer with original bill. It would have cost Revenue," and there is some insert, "Department approximately \$200,000 plus other costs, such as, personnel, correspondence, et cetera. This amendment will make it collectable like a real estate license, for example. The wholesaler or the manufacturer will receive an assessment prior to the date. They will have to pay the assessment and then they will receive their license. Except for the first year, it will be collectable on an annual basis. It will also be put into the computer on a sub program which means a cost of about \$50,000 for Revenue Department to DAS." Well, with that explanation I am sure that there are no more questions and that we should hurry up and advance this bill because all