

May 10, 1979

LB 479

systems. So, in comes the DeCamp amendment which is simply worded in broader terms so that it is sure then to include all types of bonded indebtedness. Philosophically I think the legislature has made a commitment long ago to exclude all types of bonded indebtedness. It did so under LB 1 and I have not heard this session yet anybody speak to excluding on any kind of theory any particular type of bonded indebtedness. I see no reason for distinguishing between types of bonded indebtedness. If you are going to exclude it and you really have to exclude it, you should exclude it all. The DeCamp amendment is simply being sure that it is all excluded by using broad language.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The question is on the DeCamp amendment to the committee amendment. Senator Dworak your light was on. Do you wish to speak to the DeCamp amendment?

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, I was going to call for the question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, the question has been called for. Do I see five hands? All those in favor of ceasing debate vote aye, those opposed vote no. Shall debate cease is the question. The question before the House is, shall debate cease? Have you all voted? Record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay to cease debate.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Debate has ceased. The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp to close on his amendments. Do you have any closing?

SENATOR DE CAMP: Just that it is imperative if you are going to keep construction and a couple hundred other statutes in this state functional that you do this. Now, let me give you an example. Three blocks, houses on both sides. Under existing laws since 1909 the system goes something like this. They file a petition, automatically if they get so many signatures they can have the property paved or the sewer put in or whatever and then automatically without a vote of the people or anything else the project goes ahead under the auspicious of the municipality or whatever, and that part that can not be attributable to benefit the individual owners is borne by the municipality. That system has been in effect. If you function under the lid, as it is written now, you eliminate this entire process, you probably eliminate most projects now on the board and in the future and those that can go ahead are going to have to do so at a much higher rate. Now you can say as has been alleged that this opens up a major hole in the lid. I'm not going to