

be able to say in this bill that the maximum amount that the state should pay should be 3.2 million dollars, that is 6.2 less the 3 million raised from private funds leaves 3.2 million dollars for the state to pay. That to me seems like a reasonable figure. So, essentially and simply all my amendment does is to state that the total amount that will be paid by the state is 3.2 million dollars and the rest will be paid by the private donations that are raised. Now we will see who is being truthful with the fiscal estimates on this bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Any further discussion on the Beutler amendment? Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature. I would have to oppose the Beutler amendment and we need to remind ourselves that historically we have never done anything like this. Now I think that it is fine because the introducer himself would volunteer to be of help and raise up to three million dollars, but to tie them and compel them to do it by legislation and not allow this proposal to move unless those conditions are met, I think this would be unfair. We have never done it before, we did not do it with the law college, and why do it now. I would like to remind again that I am not going to speak when we get to the bill itself and I will say what I want to say on the bill, only a statement, as I address the amendment, Mr. Chairman if I may, and that is this. Now look at the bill. Look at Section 5. Nothing is positive. If we pull away from this proposal at this point we are going to do nothing except discourage the other states that have gone on record and have not tied all of these things into the bills that they have passed. I'm speaking about North Dakota, I'm speaking about Wyoming, they have not done this. Now here is Nebraska that can get most of the benefits and we are tying into the bill all kinds of proposals. I think that it is unfair. We are doing nothing really by it but by saying that we may, if the federal funds are available and so on. I think that we should go ahead with the bill and not propose any more amendments. See what happens next year, we can always do something about it if it doesn't look well at that time. I do oppose the Beutler amendments, strongly.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Any other discussion on the Beutler amendment? Senator Schmit, do you want to comment on the Beutler amendment?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, just very briefly, Mr. President. As I said earlier if we were to accept the Beutler amendment we would be adopting a precedent that has never been addressed in this body. If Senator Beutler would want to make it retroactive