

May 8, 1979

LB 585

and do it. So I'm going to support the money but for one other reason. In 1967 when we increased state aid we did it with \$25,000,000. Further increase in state aid was difficult for years because of the impact of that 25 having no impact on reduced property tax, and the reason it did not is that half of that money went to replace personal property tax intangibles and household goods. There was another million and a half or so that was available for....it was not available because of a law suit. There was about five million or so of it that was used up by at that time inflationary costs. In any event, the net effect of that \$25,000,000 appropriation on reduced property taxes in the state was somewhere between 7 and 8 million dollars, which was slightly more than one mill, and the argument continued for years that increased state aid is more spending because there was no apparent reduction in property taxes, and there was not. Now if you're going to do \$10,000,000 the effect will be the same. Your rates are going to go up and I don't care what Senator DeCamp may say, I'm selling you without any doubt in my mind that rates will go up because the \$10,000,000 had a \$20,000,000 impact, so when rates are going to go up it's not if it's when, and when may as well be now, and you better do it for a dollar amount that's going to have impact on property taxes so when you go home to explain the increased rate you can point to a reduction. You will never point to a reduction with \$10,000,000. So I support Senator Dworak because it's the only defensible basis you have for supporting increased state aid and increased property tax and that defensible basis is identifiable reduction. Now we looked at 285 a couple of times. You will recall how that works. The percentage increase is on both state and local funds. You make that increase big enough in state aid and you will have no increase in local property tax, and how do you do that? You get the dollar amount of state aid big enough to have that effect. So if you're going to break the rates I say do it with an amount that's going to be identifiably beneficial to property tax payers. Don't do it with the little amount that you put on with the \$10,000,000 which you can never defend and the increase in rates are going to be there.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: It's with great pleasure I rise to support my neighbor on the north, Senator Dworak. It's with great pleasure I welcome him into the ranks of those who support state aid, and I agree with Senator