

May 8, 1979

LB 594

is we really don't know. The point of the matter is if we accept this capital construction budget as it is right now we can still live within 7% next year. The capital construction budget is not what would put us over any more than the inflationary pressures of 138 or anything else. This is a sound conservative budget within a 7% limitation and I am proud of the work of the Appropriations Committee this year in keeping within that 7% limitation and there is a lot of pressures and a lot of temptations all the way through this to exceed that limitation. Now none of these projects are frivolous, whether it be the Kearney Library, whether it be Ag Engineering Building, whether it be the Eppley conversion or whether it be the Theatre Arts project or whether it be the Curtis pens for the cattle out in Curtis. Now I think we discussed that chiller enough on this floor. It was discussed last week and very, very thoroughly and we all know that there is a certain number of regents that got their you know what in a sling and want us to bail them out and I am not going to be a party of that. This is a good capital construction budget. It is not excessive and it is manageable next year and I think this body along with seven members of the Appropriations Committee can support it in confidence and go back home and say we put a 7% limitation on ourselves and lived with it this year and we can live with it next year. There is nothing in this capital construction budget that is going to automatically blow our budget next year.

SPEAKER MARVEL PRESIDING

SPEAKER MARVEL: One minute.

SENATOR DWORAK: So I very strongly urge you to reject this particular amendment. If we are going to throw the whole appropriations process now out on the floor and continue to rework totally what this committee has done, so be it, but I think the work was solid and I think it was responsible. Now I, personally, could care less about any project in this capital construction budget because there is not one brick or piece of mortar going to be spent in the 22nd Legislative District. I could care less. I don't have any high priorities. I could care less whether we get an Ag Engineering Building. I could care less whether we do anything with the library in Kearney. I could care less if we take care of the Temple renovation. I could care less about the Eppley conversion. All I care about is we set some stringent controls, budgetary limitations, and we lived within it. Now to me that is good government. To me that is what the people are asking for, and when I look at a total annual state