

because that doesn't...you know...I'm not sure I could win if I did with you anyway but the point I want to make is, that in order to answer your question, you have got to get into the whole business of reconsideration motions and that is going to take thirty minutes to an hour to discuss which I am perfectly willing to do at a later time. Senator Kelly has a right and part of the problem today and the major part was an attempt, a sincere attempt on the part of the Speaker to try to solve Senator Kelly's problem through having him present to the Legislature as you did. You got your problem solved. He has a perfect right to try to do the same thing. If he chooses not to, I don't think the Speaker has deliberately attempted to stand between him and the implementation of his particular proposition. To further discuss the case, you will find on every occasion I voted for 221 so I don't...I'm not trying to play games with him because I am on the opposite side. I am not on the opposite side.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, here is my last query. If, in fact, a motion was put up on the desk five days ago and if the rules place a five day limit on consideration of that motion and the motion has not been considered and today is the fifth day, it would indicate to me that something other than action by the body is going to cause that motion to just be disregarded and that is what is of concern to me regardless of the issue on which the motion was made and as to whether or not a reconsideration motion can be made on General File, we don't have any rule that says it cannot be. We have a rule that allows for practically anything to be reconsidered and then it tells the consequences of a reconsideration motion failing. I am not aware of anyplace in the rules where it says a motion to reconsider cannot be made on General File. So the way it seems to me, a motion was made which properly can be made under the rules. A time limit exists for that motion. The motion was filed in a timely fashion and today is the end. This is the last day of that limit. The motion apparently is not going to be considered by the body for whatever reason and I think we ought to consider very seriously what we might be doing to the legislative process if we allow this to happen today.

SPEAKER MARVEL: My only answer to you, Senator Chambers, is that you have outlined one point of view and one approach to the problem. It is not that simple and if it were that simple I would have not stayed awake for about the last