

May 2, 1979

LB 594

it notwithstanding its value, can always rise and defend the expenditure of \$6,000,000 for the destruction of a structure which I think has some value. Now I was at the University of Nebraska myself a few years ago and I well remember the Temple Building and I well remember the multitude of uses that it was placed to and I well recognize that perhaps it was not originally designed as Senator Landis says for a theatre arts building but I want to point out that when we get through with it under the proposal of the budget committee we will have spent many dollars and in my estimation of a questionable product and we can talk about we are going to be proud of it. It is always amazing to me how proud we can be about something and I will have to confess that the demand for the theatre arts building from Bellwood, Nebraska, has not been overwhelming, in fact, from the entire 23rd legislative district. In fact, I have not had one letter from the entire State of Nebraska. In fact, if the truth were known a new theatre arts building will come as a distinct surprise to 99.50% of the people of the State of Nebraska. This is not to say that it is not a worthwhile project but I would think that it would be an issue that we could point with pride if we would say, look, for once in our life we didn't bulldoze down something. We didn't gut something. We didn't throw something away. We restored something to its original edifice, its original glory and we made it into what it could have been or should have been many many years ago. I recognize that when the temptation to spend is there as it is right now, that everybody has to get a slice of the pie and a piece of the action and that is what is going on and when we get that all done we can go back home and brag about how we cut spending when, in fact, we won't really do it. Now you can spend the \$6,000,000 for the new building if you wish and you probably will but bear in mind that when you do you had best place in some kind of a fund, 5 or 6 or 7% for maintenance because that is what it is going to cost and in another few years it will be someone else will come along and say well that monstrosity needs to go. I think it is time that we look at the existing structures on our university campus, determine if they do, in fact, have some residual value, determine if they can be restored, determine if they can by so doing we can save some money and if we can, in fact, then we ought to proceed in that manner. I would hope that this will not be one more step down that road where we bulldoze down anything that gets in the way that is more than fifty years of age. Mr. President, I ask for the adoption of the amendment.