

May 2, 1979

LB 594

recommended by the committee because again of that future impact. There are a number of items that have built up substantially already and because of those reasons, why the committee did not and is not recommending the \$60,000. We have also had discussion, very informal discussions, of the possibility of some other structures that might be used that currently exist that might be more efficient but that is too premature to talk about in any detail but in any event the committee's recommendation would be that this additional planning money would not be included this year.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: I guess I would just indicate that this project and this amount of money was in the Appropriations budget's discussion up until the last cut, if you will, of programs and it was removed there on a divided vote. At some point that facility will need to be renovated because indeed and as Senator Koch has pointed out, it is a turn of the century building with some more recent additions it is being utilized. It is terribly inefficient and not really effective for that program's space. So I think that it is poignant to point out that this was given high consideration by the Appropriations Committee and was seen to be as close to getting the appropriations bill as any project so I think Senator Koch has a worthy suggestion here.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Simon, do you wish to talk on this?

SENATOR SIMON: I have a couple of questions for Senator Fowler, please, if he will yield.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR SIMON: Senator Fowler, if we went ahead and approved this amendment and then subsequently came up with the plan and if that plan were not implemented for a period of a couple of years, would that plan become outdated?

SENATOR FOWLER: Well it has been customary to develop plans and then hold the actual construction for a couple of years such as with the Historical Society. I think one of the reasons that this did not survive and the other Appropriations Committee members may want to comment is the concern about developing plans, more plans than will be able to fund in terms of long term obligation and I think there is a hope by the Appropriations Committee that if a commitment is made to a plan, that the renovation or whatever could proceed