

May 1, 1979

LB 444

by all the parties that this authority already existed. It was only three weeks ago when the court said, hey, it isn't clear.

SENATOR KELLY: You are on my time and I state this is that as a legally trained mind you do not assume, you read the statutes and you read what it says and it will say that the court order has got to come from the court.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Landis, do you wish to speak to the DeCamp amendment?

SENATOR LANDIS: I, do, Mr. Speaker. I would like to respond in part to Senator Kelly's objections. There is a misnomer with respect to the Court of Industrial Relations that seems to be larger in the minds of some people than others and that is whether or not this is a court or whether or not this is an administrative agency. It makes little difference in this case. Senator Kelly alleges we should only be ordered to do something by a court and I, as an attorney, agree in this case with Senator DeCamp. It is oftentimes the case that an administrative agency accepting arguendo that the court in this case is an administrative agency that an administrative agency has the power to shape the nature of the remedy. I would have to say, however, that Senator Kelly is correct in the event the party refuses to accept the judgment of the court. In other words, if the court orders a subdivision to rehire or to reinstate an employee, it is not the Court of Industrial Relations that can enforce that order if the political subdivision does not carry out that order and they commit, in other words, a contempt of court. The Court of Industrial Relations then goes to the district court to enforce its own order. Now in this respect then, the Court of Industrial Relations is subservient to the decision of a district court. That language appears not only in the statutes but also appears in the decision recently handed down two or three weeks ago in the case of the University Police Department. So in the end, it is up to the district courts to enforce the nature of the remedy described by the Court of Industrial Relations. In the event a city refuses to do that remedy and to reform that order, then you go to the district court and the judicial branch weighing the evidence decides whether or not there has been a contempt of that order and then they can force the political subdivision to carry out the remedy outlined by the Court of Industrial Relations. What I am saying to you is and where I object to Senator Kelly's analysis is that an administrative agency has no role in shaping the remedy when a wrong has been committed. Everyone of us are familiar with the Environmental Control Council or the like