

April 26, 1979

LB 136

expanding cities, towns. This is an important bill and I certainly have to vigorously disagree with Senator Keyes that this is the worst thing that has happened to farmers since the beginning of time according to his explanation and I submit that it simply is not. It allows the same rights to farmers or large landowners that they have always had and we are not taking that away from them. I urge you to defeat this amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Cope.

SENATOR COPE: Mr. President and members, a question of Senator Rumery.

PRESIDENT: Mr. Rumery, will you respond?

SENATOR RUMERY: Yes.

SENATOR COPE: Senator Rumery, do you remember in this section of '77 statutes I believe you said, does this have to do with the bill that...let's see...where the maintenance of a road and I am not sure whether it was construction, but the maintenance of a road that was divided between county and city. Does this deal with this?

SENATOR RUMERY: No. As I understand it it does not. The maintenance of a road would be the responsibility of what kind of a road it is. If it is a county road why naturally they would have the responsibility of maintaining it.

SENATOR COPE: The reason I ask, I had a bill and I think it was 1977 that did decide that the city would have the maintenance of a road on adjoining property. If they expand and go out two blocks, half a mile, whatever it is, they would have the full maintenance of the road except on county lines.

SENATOR RUMERY: Where there are two counties involved and...

SENATOR COPE: Yes.

SENATOR RUMERY: ...of course if a district of a...for instance, if a paving district were set up why then the city would have to maintain it.

SENATOR COPE: The maintenance, but not the construction. This bill had nothing to do with the construction then. I frankly don't remember and I just wanted to be sure. Thank you.