

April 25, 1979

LB 221

only reason that the age twenty-one was accepted as the age of majority was during the days of early England when to be a military person you had to wear armor and carry heavy armaments. They felt that the body was sufficiently developed at that age to carry those weapons of war. So at that age a person could be forced to fight, but there is no correlation between that function and any other one. It had nothing to do with intelligence, responsibility or anything else. It simply meant that your body is now large enough to go to war. You can be made to walk through the mud. You can be made to man the battlements. You can be made to fight in a battle that you don't understand for people who don't even care about you. So I don't think that all these other arguments are to the point. The issue is that alcohol is a drug and it is abused by all of the so-called respectable people in this society so it cannot very well be condemned, but marijuana is far less devastating in its consequences than alcohol but the thought or the discussion of legalizing marijuana causes people to go through the ceiling. Since you feel that you want your children to have a crutch, give them marijuana then until they are twenty-one and then let them trade it for alcohol. Not as many people kill others on the highway under the influence of marijuana. I have not heard of families being broken up because of marijuana and both of them are dope. But because the judge uses the alcohol you don't want to call it dope and these other people who use it. One thing that troubles me about this effort on the part of students to try to have the liquor age left where it is, is that they will rally for something like this but not rally to vote because if they would vote perhaps they would carry more clout but the members in various governing bodies are master psychologists and they know that young people are not going to vote like they know that women who are a majority of the population are not going to vote. So they trivialize the young people. They trivialize the interests of women in any group who would have the political strength to put people into office who would do their will. So if the Legislature saw these young people voting and doing other things that, in fact, demonstrate responsibility, perhaps there would be a better ear listening. But you can't tell me they are responsible because when they get loaded with alcohol they know to drive on the right hand side of the street or that maybe they won't go out with a stick and knock somebody in the head. That is not responsibility. What I told Senator Newell is that I am aware of the ravages of alcohol on old and young. Now I hate to make it a racial