

April 19, 1979

LB 226

receiving. Now are those the 66 that you are covering in this amendment? That is my question.

SENATOR LAMB: Okay, Senator Cope, if you will look on the front of the fiscal note you will see that it says Title 6-B projects, 44 children.

SENATOR COPE: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: Those are the children that I understand that would not have funding from either federal or other state funding if this bill were passed and that is the money that we are talking about.

SENATOR COPE: The possible 44 children?

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, that was the number currently provided for, yes. The number may change I assume.

SENATOR COPE: Would you subtract that from the 66 then? That is what I am trying to get out, the number that...?

SENATOR LAMB: I guess I don't see the number 66 that you are referring to.

SENATOR COPE: The #5 on the back side of the page applying the \$6,000 per child cost to these 66 children, the amount saved would be \$396,000.

SENATOR LAMB: Well there have been so many of these fiscal notes supplied that we may not be reading from the same one but the other children, and I still don't find that on this one which is supposed to be the latest one. In fact the State Department brought the latest fiscal note over only yesterday so we have not had that much time.

SENATOR COPE: Possibly then that may be the difference. As I was looking, it could have been the older one. I am not sure but that answers my question, that is, as best as can be right now.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Lamb, do you wish to close on your amendment?

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I guess I would have to say that Senator Lewis overestimates me or something because it is not my intent to offer an amendment here which materially changes the bill. My only intent in offering this amendment was to give some funding to a group of children